Ryan Knapp, Chair Judit DeStefano, Vice Chair Neil Chaudhary Dan Honan Mary Ann Jacob Chris Eide



3 Primrose Lane Newtown, CT. 06470 Tel. (203) 270-4210 www.newtown-ct.gov

Minutes of the Legislative Ordinance Committee Meeting

The Ordinance Committee met on Wednesday August 3rd, 2016 in The Council Chambers of the Municipal Center, 3 Primrose Lane, Newtown. Committee Chairman Ryan Knapp called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

Present: Mr. Chaudhary, Ms. Jacob, Ms. DeStefano, Mr. Honan, Mr. Eide and Mr. Knapp. Finance Director Bob Tait. 2 Members of the public

Absent: None.

MINUTES:

Mr Eide moved to approve the minutes of 7/12/2016, Mr Chaudhary seconded. 4-0 in favor. Ms. DeStefano and Mr. Honan abstained.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

None.

New Business

Review and recommendation Code of Ethics Rules.

Mr. Knapp began with a review of our current ordinance and policy. There had been discussion at the LC meeting when the committee had been charged that this would be an effort to memorialize best practices and establishing what would become a standard operating procedure for the Board as Ordinance has done with others. The committee likely begins by reaching out to CCM and familiarizing itself with the current process. We will invite current and former members as suggested.

Mr. Chaudhary will contact CCM.

Ms. Jacob suggested a letter to the Board of Ethics.

Review and recommendation regarding Ad-hoc committee for Policy and Planning for Roads and the roads ordinance language.

Mr. Knapp began by saying this charge is very large and we need to begin by fully understanding the recommendations of the committee.

Mr. Honan volunteered to meet with members of the roads committee and obtain their presentation. He will report back to the group.

Mr. Chaudhary will ask CCM what other communities have done to address non-conforming, non-town owned roads.

Old Business

Review and recommendation regarding senior tax abatement program.

Mr. Knapp started by saying that the Registrar of Voters reported there are 4,258 voters who are 65+ and 1,597 voters from 60-64 years old. In the two years since the revised program has been rolled out there has been a drop off in both years. He noted the two year application period. He asked Mr. Tait about how the \$1.65M is captured in the budget as it is shown on the calculation of the Mil Rate page (49).

Mr. Tait explained that requirements by law cannot be voted up or down. He will also bring comparison data to the committee at the next meeting related to turnover. New members in the program vs people who have left.

Mr. Eide had concerns about transparency in spending. There was discussion that this does impact taxation but at referendum the voters vote on spending.

Ms. DeStefano asked about considerations when moving from \$1.5M to \$1.65M.

Mr. Knapp recalled the circumstances of 2013, when awareness of senior needs was illuminated by the impact of the revaluation. That increased awareness that the income groups and the level of funding had not been adjusted in some time. There was support across boards at the time.

Ms. DeStefano asked how income groups were set and talked about possibly using HUD guidelines rather than basing it on discussions and feelings of the committee.

Mr. Chaudhary said that is a good tool for discussion but does not feel it should be baked into the ordinance.

Ms. Jacob asked to hear from Bob Tait on giving all seniors a tax break based on age.

Mr. Tait would like to come back at the next meeting with more information on that.

Ms. Jacob is not in favor of reducing the benefit to those most in need.

Mr. Chaudhary spoke to tricky unknowns and variability, perhaps setting a max if we were to distribute any remainder.

Ms. DeStefano asked if philosophically would this still be needs based?

Ms. Jacob spoke the challenges and costs seniors face plus the lack of services they receive compared to how they support all parts of the budget. To acknowledge that would send a message to the community.

Mr. Knapp said the group really has to have a high level discussion of what looks like four options. In no order they were 1) to do nothing, 2) create an all new age based program, 3) increase bracket ranges, or 4) to open any remaining funds to any senior who applies.

Ms. Jacob would like to hear more about option 2 before deciding.

Mr. Knapp said that at our next meeting we will need to have this discussion.

Mr. Knapp asked for clarification on 208.8 in practice and who and how the amount of abatement is set if it is not a line in the budget.

Mr. Tait will inquire.

In respect to the audience the committee allowed for a public comment period.

Public Comment:

Steve Rosenblatt, 50 Watkins Dr, thanked Ms. Jacob for what she said on why seniors are requesting tax relief. This process stared a couple years ago and gave a concept of relief to non-qualifiers. He projected seniors who did not qualify, excluding dual residents and renters, was approximately 1,200. Assuming some will never apply the expected number would be 1,000. He spoke to combining group D with all other seniors and the costs in the plan he previously provided. He mentioned 60+ residents represents 38% of the voting public and that Ridgefield gives \$1,000 to anyone over 65. He said that age does impact need, that life gets harder as seniors get older and they are paying a lot of out of pocket costs of living such as taxes and insurance costs. He feels the asset test is meaningless. He asked the committee to consider this a means to attract residents who would help pay for the schools. He said by 2020 the cost per student will be aprox \$21,000. Mr. Rosenblatt thanked the committee for their consideration.

Bernie Cohen, 52 Watkins Dr, suggested aged based but understands needs based. He hypothesized an age plus time in town freeze going forward. It could be needs based plus aged based by keeping A,B+C and aged based tax freezes which would have no budget impact the year they are frozen.

[It was noted that under CGS a freeze requires a lien]

Review and Recommendation regarding Pension Committee ordinance language.

Mr. Knapp compared the Pension Committee language with the Medical Self Insurance language. He expects they will end up in a similar place.

Ms. Jacobs will talk to the First Selectman to see where they are at with their policy re-write and what recommendations they got from their consultant.

Mr. Chaudhary will add a request to his CCM contact.

Mr. Knapp acknowledged we need to meet with the Pension Board, but feels we should close out Senior Tax first.

Review and Recommendation regarding abatement for volunteer fire, ambulance and underwater recue personnel.

Mr. Tait provided recommendations and spoke to how the program would be administered going forward.

Mr. Chaudhary asked if this will impact spending.

Mr. Tait said no, it will be administered like Senior Tax as part of the Mil Rate calc.

Mr. Eide noted a difference in the draft language of Tax Collector vs First Selectman. Also discussed break in service language.

Mr. Chaudhary asked if we had gotten clarification on by laws.

Mr. Knapp suggested we wait for legal and compare.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

None.

Ms DeStefano motioned to adjourn at 9:30. Mr. Chaudhary Seconded.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ryan W. Knapp Ordinance Committee Chairman Gmail - Ordinance



Ryan Knapp <ryan.w.knapp@gmail.com>

Ordinance

1 message

nkc@4newtown.com <nkc@4newtown.com>
To: Ryan Knapp <ryan.w.knapp@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 2, 2016 at 3:54 PM

Hi Ryan,

Last time I mentioned that I got info from a CT.gov website where it said there was no requirement for senior income for some tax abatement options. I thought you might want to share with the other folks:

https://www.cga.ct.gov/2012/rpt/2012-R-0222.htm

This is the relevant passage (and while I haven't looked back I recall that our ordinance is based on the CGS § 12-129n Statute):

ADDITIONAL LOCAL OPTION TAX RELIEF FOR SENIORS

While the tax freeze and circuit breaker programs require participants to meet certain income levels, towns are able to provide additional optional property tax relief to seniors regardless of income. The law allows towns, upon approval by the town's legislative body, to provide relief to seniors age 65 or older and disabled people without state reimbursement. It imposes no income criteria and does not require towns to adopt any (<u>CGS § 12-129n</u>).

The law allows towns to provide relief to homeowners already receiving tax relief under the circuit breaker program as well as to those who do not meet that program's income criteria. The tax relief can take any form, including freezing tax payments at specified levels. But the overall amount of tax relief a town can provide is limited to no more than 10% of the total value of real property in the town in each given year. And the total value of tax relief a homeowner can receive under this and the tax freeze and circuit breaker programs cannot exceed his or her annual tax. The town must put a lien on the property if the amount of tax relief is more than 75% of the tax owed, and the law places several other restrictions on optional, unreimbursed local tax relief (CGS § 12-129n).

Towns' legislative bodies can vote to abate property taxes for any homeowner regardless of age, if the tax exceeds 8% of the owner's income for a given year. The owner must agree to reimburse the town for the abated amount plus interest when he dies or the property is sold (CGS § 12-124a).

See you tomorrow,

Neil

1 of 1 8/5/2016 6:58 PM

DRAFT HUD Based Calculations for need-based subsidy Rough Estimates - eligibility of income limits for rental assistance

	AMI (Area A		Adjusted 1		
	Median	Base (4	person HH	Adjusted 2	Adjusted 3
	Income)	person HH)	(70%)	person HH (80%)	person HH (90%)
AMI (Danbury Census Group)	105,40	00			
80% Low/Moderate Income		84320	59024	67456	75888
60% (Section 8 Eligible)*		64080	44880	51300	57720
50% Very Low Income (HUD Assistance Eligible)*		53400	37400	42750	48100
30% Extremely Low Income*		32050	22450	25650	28850
Hypothetical 125%		131750	92225	105400	118575
*http://affordablehousingonline.com/housing-search/Conr	necticut/Newto	own/ Actual nu	ımbers, not adju	sted calculations	
AMI (Newtown 2013 Estimate)	116,48	89			
80% Low Income (Cap Eligible for Assistance)		93191.2	65233.84	74552.96	83872.08
60% (section 8 eligible)		69893.4	48925.38	55914.72	62904.06
50% Very Low Income		58244.5	40771.15	46595.6	52420.05
30% Extremely Low Income		34946.7	24462.69	27957.36	31452.03
			73780	84320	94860
AMI (Newtown 2014 Estimate)	108,66	57			_
80% Low Income (Cap Eligible for Assistance)		86933.6	60853.52	69546.88	78240.24
60% (section 8 eligible)		65200.2	45640.14	52160.16	58680.18
50% Very Low Income		54333.5	38033.45	43466.8	48900.15
30% Extremely Low Income		32600.1	22820.07	26080.08	29340.09

AMI is used as basis for Federal housing subsidies, including Section 8, Tax Credit Housing, etc.

FY 2016 HUD INCOME LIMITS BRIEFING MATERIAL

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Policy Development & Research

March 10, 2016





FY 2016 INCOME LIMITS BRIEFING MATERIAL

Overview of HUD Section 8 Income Limits

FY 2016 Median Family Income Estimates

Overview

ACS Data and its Use in the Production of Median Family Incomes

Margin of Error

Trend Factor

Median Family Income Estimate Calculations

FY 2016Income Limits

Overview

Very Low-Income Limits

Low-Income Limits

30 Percent of Area Median Family Income Limits

Family Size Adjustments

5 Percent Rule

Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008

Income Limit Applications

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Rural Housing and Community Development Service

Treasury Programs

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

Federal Home Loan Banks

Federal Housing Finance Agency

Other Federal Banking Regulatory Provisions

Uniform Relocation Act

Department of Veterans Affairs





ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: U.S. Housing Act of 1937 Provisions Related to Income Limits

Attachment 2: HUD Methodology for Estimating FY 2016 Median Family Incomes

Attachment 3: Metropolitan FMR Areas with Adjusted FY 2016 Very Low-Income Limits

Attachment 4: Metropolitan FMR Areas with Adjusted FY 2016 Low-Income Limits

Attachment 5: Distribution of Changes in Area Median Income

Attachment 6: FY 2016 Median Family Incomes for States



Overview of HUD Section 8 Income Limits¹

The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is required by law to set income limits that determine the eligibility of applicants for HUD's assisted housing programs. The major active assisted housing programs are the Public Housing program, the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program, Section 202 housing for the elderly program, and Section 811 housing for persons with disabilities program.

HUD Section 8 Income Limits begin with the production of Median Family Income estimates. HUD uses the Section 8 program's Fair Market Rent (FMR) area definitions in developing median family income estimates (MFIs), which means that income estimates are developed for each metropolitan area, parts of some metropolitan areas, and each non-metropolitan county. The final FY 2016 FMR areas incorporate the February 28, 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) metropolitan area definitions which are largely included in the 2013 American Community Survey (ACS) that serves as the basis for these medians. HUD Section 8 Income Limits are calculated for every FMR area with adjustments for family size and for areas with unusually high or low family income or housing-cost-to-income relationships.

The statutory basis for HUD's income limit policies is Section 3 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended.² Attachment 1 provides the key excerpts relevant to income limits, which may be summarized as follows:

Low-income families are defined as families whose incomes do not exceed 80 percent of the median family income for the area.

Very low-income families are defined as families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of the median family income for the area.

The FY 2014 Continuing Appropriations Act defines Extremely Low-Income Families as very low-income families whose income do not exceed the greater of 30 percent of the median family income for the area or the federal poverty guidelines as published by the Department of Health and Human Services. The poverty guidelines are capped by the Very Low-Income Limit.

Income limits for non-metropolitan areas may not be less than limits based on the State nonmetropolitan median family income level.





¹ Also known as HUD Public Housing/Section 8 Income Limits

The Secretary of Agriculture is to be consulted prior to establishing income limits for rural areas, since these limits also apply to certain Rural Housing and Community Development Service programs.



FY 2016 Median Family Income Estimates

Overview

The FY 2016 MFI estimates use the 2009 -2013 5-year ACS and PRCS data, augmented by the 2013 1-year ACS and PRCS data. The 2013 data are inflated using a Consumer Price Index (CPI) forecast from the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) through the midpoint of FY 2016.In addition to incorporating the new metropolitan area definitions released by OMB in 2013, the FY 2016 MFI estimates update incomes for the Pacific Islands, with separate data for American Samoa, and the Northern Mariana Islands and the Virgin Islands, with separate data for St. Johns, VI.

ACS Data and its Use in the Production of Median Family Incomes

As mentioned above, the FY 2016 MFIs incorporate the 2009-2013 5-year ACS data into the calculation process. Specifically, for each metropolitan area, subarea of a metropolitan area, and non-metropolitan county, HUD uses 5-year ACS data as the new basis for calculating MFI estimates. In areas with a valid 1-year ACS survey median family income result, HUD incorporates this data as well to take advantage of more recent survey information. By using both the 5-year data and the 1-year data, where available, HUD establishes a new basis for median family income estimates while also capturing the most recent information available.

Margin of Error

HUD begins by setting the base median family income equal to the 2009-2013 5-year ACS survey value. For areas with a valid 2013 1-year survey result, HUD uses the margin of error for the 1-year data to ensure that the 1-year MFI are statistically reliable. Where the 1-year data are statistically reliable (i.e., where the margin of error is less than half of the estimate itself), HUD uses the 1-year survey result as the base value for median family income. For FY 2016 there is a 50 percent reduction in the level of the margin of error used to determine if data are statistically reliable. In the few cases where the statistical confidence interval for the 5-year ACS estimate of median family income includes zero, HUD assigns the state nonmetropolitan median. For more information, please see section E – Median Family Income Estimate Calculations.

CPI Inflation and Trend Factor

In calculating the FY 2015 MFI estimates, HUD decided to replace the trend factor based on the annualized change in national median family income over the last five years because the Department determined this was no longer a reasonable expectation of upcoming income growth. Consequently, the Department incorporated a CPI forecast from CBO into the process in order to account for anticipated income growth over the next year. HUD is continuing to use the CPI forecast from CBO in the FY 2016, MFI estimates which will inflate the 2013 ACS data to the mid-point of FY 2016. The CBO projection of CPI, published in January 2016 is used to inflate



Median Family Income Estimate Calculations

Median family³ incomes start with the development of estimates of median family income for the metropolitan areas and non-metropolitan FMR/income limit areas (including U.S. territories). Attachment 2 provides a detailed explanation of how median family income estimates are calculated. The major steps are as follows:

HUD uses 2009-2013 5-year ACS estimates of median family income calculated as the basis for FY 2016 median family incomes for all areas designated as Fair Market Rent areas. In areas where there is also a valid 2016 1-year ACS estimate of median family income, HUD replaces the 5-year data with the 1-year data. A valid 1-year 2013 ACS estimate is one where the margin of error of the estimate is less than one-half of the estimate.

All places:

All estimates (using either 5-year data or 5-year data augmented with 1-year data) are then trended from 2013 to April 2016.

For the non-Puerto Rico Insular Areas of the United States,⁴ which currently lack ACS (or PRCS) coverage, 2010 Decennial Census data is used for the first time in the FY 2016 medians and income limits. National ACS income changes are used to update 2010 Decennial Census data to 2013 and then the same CPI forecast trend factor is used.

FY 2016 Income Limits

Overview

HUD's Section 8 very low-income and low-income limits are calculated in accordance with Section 3(b)(2) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended. The very low-income limits (usually based on 50 percent of MFI) are the basis of all other income limits, as they are the best-defined income limits and have been the subject of specific, limited legislative adjustments subsequent to reviews of the HUD calculation methodology. In addition, a number of other income limit calculations are tied by legislation or regulation to their calculation.

There are currently several legislated income limit standards (e.g., 30%, extremely low-income limits, 50%, 60%, 65%, 80%, 95%, 100%, 115%, 125%) that were intended to have progressive relationships. To ensure that this occurs, the very low-income limits have been used as the basis for deriving other income limits unless that relevant statutory language has no references or relationship to low- and very low-income limits as defined by the U.S. Housing Act

⁴ The areas without ACS coverage are the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Marianas Islands. Puerto Rico is covered by the ACS-equivalent Puerto Rico Community Survey.



³ Family refers to the Census definition of a family, which is a householder with one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The definition of family excludes one-person households and multi-person households of unrelated individuals.

of 1937. If this were not done, for instance, HUD low-income limits would be less than very low-income limits in areas where very low-income limits had been adjusted upward by more than 60 percent because of unusually low area median family incomes relative to the Section 8 Fair Market Rents (FMRs).

Very Low-Income Limits

Very low-income limits are calculated using a set of formulae as follows. The first step is to calculate a four-person income limit equal to 50 percent of the estimated area median family income. Adjustments are then made if this estimate is outside formula constraints.

More specifically, the very low-income limit for a four-person family is calculated as follows:

50 percent of the area median family income is calculated and set as the preliminary four-person family income limit;

- (2) the four-person very low-income limit is increased if it would otherwise be less than the amount at which 35 percent of it equals 85 percent of the annualized two-bedroom Section 8 FMR (or 40th percentile rent in 50th percentile FMR areas). This adjusts income limits upward for areas where rental housing costs are unusually high in relation to the median income;
- (3) the four-person very low-income limit is reduced to the greater of 80 percent of the U.S. median family income level, or the amount at which 30 percent of a four-person family's income equals 100 percent of the two-bedroom FMR (or 40th percentile rent in 50th percentile FMR areas). This adjusts income limits downward for areas of unusually high median family incomes;
- (4) the four person income limit is increased if it is less than the relevant State non-metropolitan median family income level,⁵ and;
- (5) the four person income limit is increased if it is less than 95 percent of last year's very low income limit and reduced to the greater of 105 percent of last year's very low income limit or twice the change in the national median family income estimate if that amount would be larger than 5 percent. Between FY 2015 and FY 2016, the estimate of national median family income decreased; this means the upward change in income limits is capped at 105 percent of last year's



_

⁵ A Housing and Community Development Act of 1987 amendment directed that non-metropolitan area income limits should never be set at less than if they were based on the State non-metropolitan median family income level. In implementing this provision, HUD used its discretion to apply this policy to metropolitan areas to avoid inequities that would otherwise result. Doing so avoids the anomaly of assigning higher income limits to a non-metropolitan county than are assigned to an adjacent metropolitan area where the median family income is less than the State non-metro level but above the level for the non-metro county.

very low-income limit.

Beginning with the FY 2013 Income Limits, HUD uses 40th percentile rents instead of FMRs that include 50th percentile areas, to calculate high housing cost areas. The purpose of this change is to prevent fluctuations in Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Difficult Development Area (DDA) determinations that result solely from high housing cost income limit fluctuations as areas go in and out of the 50th percentile FMR program.



Table 1 summarizes the rules governing very low-income limit determinations:

Table 1
Summary of Income Limits Determinations for FY 2016 Very Low-income Limits

	Type Income Limit Calculation	Non-metro Counties	Metropolitan Areas
1.	Limits based on 50% of local median family income	667	346
2.	Limits based on State non-metropolitan median family income level	983	85
3.	Limits increased to the amount at which 35% of 4-person family's income equals 85% of the 2-bedroom 40 th percentile rent	10	24
4.	Limits decreased to the greater of 80% of the U.S. median family income or the amount at which 30% of a 4-person family's income equals 100% of the 2-bedroom 40 th percentile rent	1	3
5.	Limits floored if they were less than 95% of last year's limit	219	87
6.	Limits capped at 105% (or double the increase in the National Median, if greater) of last year's limit	94	80
7.	TOTALS	1974	625

Low-Income Limits

Most four-person low-income limits are the higher of: (a) 80 percent of the area median family income, or (b) 80 percent of the State non-metropolitan median family income level. Because the very low-income limits are not always based on 50 percent of median, strictly calculating low-income limits as 80 percent of median could produce anomalies inconsistent with statutory intent (e.g., very low-income limits could be higher than low-income limits). The calculation normally used, therefore, is to set the four-person low-income limit at 1.6 (i.e., 80%/50%) times the relevant four-person very low-income limit. The only exceptions are that the resulting income limit may not exceed the U.S. median family income level (\$65,700 for FY 2016) except when justified by high housing costs; further, that income limit



changes are now restricted to 5 percent in either direction or an increase of twice the national change if that change is larger than 5 percent. Use of very low-income limits as a starting point for calculating other income limits tied to Section (3)(b)(2) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 has the effect of adjusting low-income limits in areas where the very low-income limits have been adjusted because of unusually high or low housing-cost-to-income relationships.

Table 2 summarizes the rules governing low-income limit determinations and how many areas are affected by each provision:

Table 2
Summary of Income Limits Determinations
for FY 2016 Low-income Limits

	Type Income Limit Calculation	Non-metro	Metropolitan
		Counties	Areas
1.	Limits based proportional increases from very low-income	651	308
	limits (i.e., set at 80/50ths of the very low-income limits)		
2.	Limits based on State nonmetropolitan median family	983	82
	income level		
3.	Four-person base low-income limit capped at the U.S.	24	51
	median of \$65,800		
4.	Limits increased for high housing costs	10	29
5.	Limits floored if they would otherwise be less than 95% of	216	78
	last year's low income limit		
	Limits capped if they would otherwise be more than 105%		
6.	(or double the increase in the National Median, if greater)	90	77
	of last year's limit		
	Totals	1974	625

HUD has adjusted low-income limits for areas of unusually high or low income since passage of the 1974 legislation that established the basic income limit system now used. Underlying the decision to set minimum and maximum low-income limits is the assumption that families in unusually poor areas should be defined as low-income if they are unable to afford standard quality housing even if their incomes exceed 80 percent of the local median family income. Similarly, families in unusually affluent areas are not considered low-income even if their income is less than 80 percent of the local median family income level unless justified by area housing costs.



Beginning with the FY 2013 Income Limits, HUD uses 40th percentile rents instead of FMRs that include 50th percentile areas to calculate high housing cost areas and continues that practice for the FY 2016 Income Limits. The purpose of this change is to prevent fluctuations in Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Difficult Development Area (DDA) determinations that result solely from high housing cost income limit fluctuations as areas go in and out of the 50th percentile FMR program.

Extremely Low-Income Limits

The Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act of 1998 established a new income limit standard based on 30 percent of median family income (the extremely low-income limits), which was to be adjusted for family size and for areas of unusually high or low family income. A statutory change was made in 1999 to clarify that these income limits should be tied to the Section 8 very low-income limits. The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, further modified and redefined these limits as Extremely Low Family income limits to ensure that these income limits would not fall below the poverty guidelines determined for each family size. Specifically, extremely low-income families are defined to be very low-income families whose incomes are the greater of the Poverty Guidelines as published and periodically updated by the Department of Health and Human Services or the 30 percent income limits calculated by HUD. Puerto Rico and other territories are specifically excluded from this adjustment. There are separate poverty guidelines for Alaska and Hawaii. The remaining 48 states and the District of Columbia use the same poverty guidelines. The extremely low income limits therefore are first calculated as 30/50ths (60 percent) of the Section 8 very low-income limits. They are then compared to the appropriate poverty guideline and if the poverty guideline is higher, that value is chosen. If the poverty guideline is above the very low-income limit at that family size, the extremely lowincome limit is set at the very low-income limit because the definition of extremely low-income limits caps them at the very low-income levels.

Family Size Adjustments

The income limit statute requires adjustments for family size. The legislative history and conference committee report indicates that the Congress intended that income limits should be higher for larger families and lower for smaller families. The same family size adjustments are used for all income limits. They are as follows:

Number of Persons in Family and Percentage Adjustments								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
70%	80%	90%	Base	108%	116%	124%	132%	

Income limits for families with more than eight persons are not included in the printed lists because of space limitations. For each person in excess of eight, the four-person income limit should be multiplied by an additional 8 percent. (For example, the nine-person limit equals 140 percent [132 + 8] of the relevant four-person income limit.) Income limits are rounded up to



the nearest \$50. Local agencies may round income limits for nine or more persons to the nearest \$50, or may use the un-rounded numbers. Family size-adjusted income limits are not retested for compliance with the 5-percent rule, as discussed below. Rounding anomalies produce some family size-adjusted income limits whose annual change is slightly larger or smaller than 5 percent.

Due to the extremely low-income definition changes, these family size adjustments are no longer sufficient to determine the level of extremely low-income limits. The poverty guidelines have fixed dollar amount adjustments between household sizes (different for Alaska and Hawaii than the rest of the U.S.). Therefore the actual amounts shown for 1- to 8-person families will not necessarily follow the percentages shown above. For families with more than 8 persons, HUD has developed a tool that should be used to calculate the extremely low-income limit for that area at http://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/il/il16/index.html. Please use the FY 2016 Income Limits Documentation system, pick the area in question, and select "Click Here" under the label "Extremely Low-Income Limits." Near the bottom of the explanations, there is a drop down box to select the number of household members needed (from 9 to 20).

5-Percent Rule

As outlined earlier in these briefing materials, in response to comments received about HUD's intention to eliminate the traditional hold-harmless provision for HUD Section 8 Income Limits received prior to the publication of FY2010 Income Limits, HUD is maintaining the constraint on the size of changes income limits can make in any one year. Specifically, the low-income and very low-income four-person limits will not be allowed to decrease more than 5 percent or increase more than 5 percent or twice the national increase in median family income, whichever of those is larger. The 5-percent rule does not apply to the extremely low-income limits where these are set according to the poverty threshold.

This policy was implemented in the following way:

The four-person very-low income limit is computed as half of the local median family income. Median family incomes are rounded to 100 so, by definition, the raw four-person income limit is rounded to 50.

The cap for the four-person very low-income limit is last year's four-person very low-income limit multiplied by 1.05 and rounded down to the nearest \$50 or twice the change in the national median family income, also rounded down, whichever is larger. The cap is rounded down to ensure that it is less than or equal to 105 percent of last year's four-person very low-income limit.

The floor for the four-person very low-income limit is last year's four-person very low-income limit multiplied by .95 and rounded up to the nearest \$50. The floor is rounded up to ensure that it is greater than or equal to 95 percent of last year's four-person very low-income limit.

If the otherwise adjusted four-person very low-income limit is above the cap then it is set at the



cap. If it is below the floor then it is set at the floor.

Family size adjustments are made to the floored/capped four-person very low-income limit. No additional adjustments are made to families of more or less than four persons for the very low-income limit except that it is then rounded up to the nearest \$50.

The cap and floor are applied in an analogous way to the four-person low-income limit.

No additional capping or flooring is done to any income limit based on either the very low-income limit or the low-income limit.

Family size adjusted limits may be slightly larger or smaller than the cap or floor imposed on the four-person low- and very low-income limits due to rounding.



Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008

Prior to FY 2010, HUD held Section 8 Income Limits harmless primarily so that Multifamily Tax Subsidy Housing Projects⁶ would not be subject to reductions in income limits and maximum rents. Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) and tax exempt bond-financed housing project income limits and rents are tied by statute to HUD's area median income estimates, and by regulation to HUD's Section 8 Income Limits.

Section 3009 of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA) provides for holding harmless "area median gross income" for tax credit and tax-exempt bond-financed housing projects with additional inflation provisions for LIHTC and tax-exempt bond-financed projects held harmless by HUD in 2007 and 2008. Because the new law provides a statutory mechanism for achieving the effect of the income limit hold-harmless policy HUD no longer holds income limits harmless.

⁶Multifamily Tax Subsidy Projects are those projects which are reliant upon Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 42 Low Income Housing Tax Credit, or use tax-exempt private activity bonds under IRC section 142 as part of their financing. In the past we have referred to this group as LIHTC Projects.



Income Limit Applications

HUD income limits apply to the following programs:

Program Income Limits Standard

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Public Housing Very low -income, low-income standards, or extremely

low-income limits

All Section 8 Programs Very low-income, low-income standards, or extremely

low-income limits

Indian Housing (1996

Act)

"Low-Income" is defined as the greater of 80 percent of

the median family income for the Indian area or of the

U.S. national median family income

Section 202 Elderly and

Section 811

Handicapped programs Very low-income or low-income standards

Section 235

(Homeownership

program)

"95 percent" of area median income, or higher cost-

based income limits

Section 236 (Rental

program)

Low-income standard

Section 221(d)(3) (BMIR)(Below Market

Interest Rate) rental

program

"95 percent" of area median income, defined as 95/80ths

of low-income definition

Community Planning

and Development

programs

Very low-income or low-income standards for current

programs under management

HOME Investment

Partnerships Act of

1990

"60 percent of median" and "65 percent of median" are used as income targeting and qualification requirements;

both limits are tied to Section 8 income limit

determinations



National Homeownership Trust Act of 1990 "95 percent" of median is referenced as the eligibility standard, with a "115 percent" of median standard for high cost areas

Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990 Affordability of units for current occupant of "moderate income" affects terms under which mortgage may be prepaid; "moderate income" is defined as 80-95 percent of median, with "80 percent" defined as the Section 8 low-income standard

Rural Housing and Community Development Service

Rental and ownership assistance programs

Assistance based on HUD Section 8 very low-income or low-income standards, or income limits tied to these standards

Treasury Programs

Multifamily Tax Subsidy Projects Current standard is Section 8 very low-income standard or 120 percent of that definition (i.e., the "60 percent" of median standard) for projects determining income eligibility and rents who haven't used income limits prior to FY 2012. Income Limits for projects using income limits in FY 2010 or earlier will no longer use Section 8 Income Limits. A separate income limits publication is produced for this program.

Tax-exempt Mortgage Revenue Bonds for homeownership financing

Generally set at 115 percent of area median income, with "115 percent" defined as 230 percent of the Section 8 very low-income standard

"Difficult Development Area" Designation (Low-Income Housing Tax Credit) Areas with the worst housing cost problems as measured by the FMR to 60 percent of median family income ratio; this designation is awarded to the population-weighted 20 percent of the metro and non-metro areas (using HUD area definitions) with the most severe problems and is recalculated annually; such areas receive special additional tax benefits under this program



"Qualified Census Tract" (Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program Definition) Areas, as defined by the Census and designated by HUD, where 50% of all households have incomes less than 60 percent of the area median family income, adjusted for household size, or the poverty rate is 25 percent or higher; such areas receive special additional tax benefits under this program; this calculation is based on 2010 Census data and income limit policies and area definitions in effect as of the date estimates are prepared

"Qualified Census Tract" (Mortgage Revenue Bond Program)

Areas, as defined by the Census, where 70 percent of all families have incomes less that 80 percent of the state median family income, based on 2010 Census data

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

Disposition of Multifamily Housing to Non-profit and Public Agencies Not less than 35 percent of all dwelling units must be made available for occupancy and be affordable for low-income families, and at least 20 percent must be made available for occupancy and be affordable for very low-income families. An "affordable rent" is defined as the rent that would be paid by a family paying 30 percent of income for rent whose income is "65 percent of median". This 65 percent figure is defined in relation to the very low-income standard (i.e., normally as 65/50ths of the standard)

Disposition of Single Family Housing

For rentals, priority is given to non-profits and public agencies that make the dwellings affordable to low-income households. Households who intend to occupy a dwelling as their primary residence whose adjusted income does not exceed 115 percent of area median income, as determined by the Secretary of HUD, are given a purchase priority for the first 3 months a property is for sale.

Federal Home Loan Banks

Rental program funding Priorities

Very low-income, "60 percent of median" (defined as 120 percent of very low-income), and low-income

standards used

Homeownership funding priorities

115 percent and 140 percent of median family income limits are used



Federal Housing Finance Agency

Income-based Housing Goals of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae Goals for percentages of loans are established for households with incomes at or below specified percentages of the HUD-published median family income for metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas, as detailed in 12 CFR, Part 1282. The area definitions used relate to OMB metropolitan area definitions and the median family income estimates for the nonmetropolitan portions of each state.

Other Federal Banking Regulatory Provisions

Targeting of loan funds to low-income households and areas Varies by agency

Uniform Relocation Act

Reimbursement to households forced to relocate from their residence by Federal agency Extent of replacement housing assistance dependent on qualifying as low-income, as defined by HUD; Act applies to all Federal agencies that initiate action that forces households to relocate from their residence

Department of Veterans Affairs

Eligibility for disability income support payments to veterans

Eligibility for non-service related income support payments is restricted to families with incomes below the HUD low-income standard



ATTACHMENT 1

U.S. HOUSING ACT OF 1937 PROVISIONS RELATED TO INCOME LIMITS (As Amended through 2014)

Section 3:

- (a)(1) Dwelling units assisted under this Act shall be rented only to families who are low-income families at the time of their initial occupancy of such units.....
- (b) When used in this Act:
- (1) The term "low-income housing" means decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings assisted under this Act....
- (2)(A) The term "low-income families" means those families whose incomes do not exceed 80 per centum of the median income for the area, as determined by the Secretary with adjustments for smaller and larger families, except that the Secretary may establish income ceiling higher or lower than 80 per centum of the median for the area on the basis of the Secretary's findings that such variations are necessary because of prevailing levels of construction costs or unusually high or low family incomes.
- (B) The term "very low-income families" means lower income families whose incomes do not exceed 50 per centum of the median family income for the area, as determined by the Secretary with adjustments for smaller and larger families, except that the Secretary may establish income ceilings higher or lower than 50 per centum of the median for the area on the basis of the Secretary's findings that such variations are necessary because of unusually high or low family incomes.
- C) The term extremely low –income families means very low-income families whose incomes do not exceed the higher of—
- (i) the poverty guidelines updated periodically by the Department of Health and Human Services under the authority of section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act applicable to a family of the size involved (except that this clause shall not apply in the case of public housing agencies or projects located in Puerto Rico or any other territory or possession of the United States); or
- (ii) 30 percent of the median family income for the area, as determined by the Secretary, with adjustments for smaller and larger families (except that the Secretary may establish income ceilings higher or lower than 30 percent of the median for the area on the basis of the Secretary's findings that such variations are necessary because of unusually high or low family incomes).



(D) Such ceilings shall be established in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture for any rural area, as defined in section 520 of the Housing Act of 1949, taking into account the subsidy characteristics and types of programs to which such ceilings apply. In determining median incomes (of persons, families, or households) for an area or establishing any ceilings or limits based on income under this Act, the Secretary shall determine or establish area median incomes and income ceilings and limits for Westchester and Rockland Counties, in the State of New York, as if each such county were an area not contained within the metropolitan statistical area in which it is located. In determining such area median incomes or establishing such income ceilings or limits for the portions of such metropolitan statistical area that does not include Westchester or Rockland Counties, the Secretary shall determine or establish area median incomes and income ceilings and limits as if such portion included Westchester and Rockland Counties. In determining areas that are designated as difficult development areas for the purposes of the low-income housing tax credit, the Secretary shall include Westchester and Rockland Counties, New York, in the New York City metropolitan area.



Section 16:

Sec. 16. (a) Income Eligibility for Public Housing

- (2)(A) Targeting. Except as provided in paragraph 4, of the public housing dwelling units of a public housing agency made available for occupancy in any fiscal year by eligible families, not less than 40 percent shall be occupied by *extremely low-income* families.
- (4)(D) Fungibility Floor. Notwithstanding any authority under subparagraph (A), of the public housing dwelling units of a public housing agency made available for occupancy in any fiscal year by eligible families, not less than 30 percent shall be occupied by families whose incomes at the time of commencement of occupancy do not exceed 30 percent of the area median income, as determined by the Secretary with adjustments for smaller and larger families; except that the Secretary may establish income ceilings higher or lower than 30 percent of the area median income on the basis of the Secretary's findings that such variations are necessary because of unusually high or low family incomes.
- Sec. 16. (b) Income eligibility for Tenant-Based Section 8 Assistance
- (1) IN GENERAL. Of the families initially provided tenant-based assistance under section 8 by a public housing agency in any fiscal year, not less than 75 percent shall be *extremely low-income* families.
- Sec. 16. (c) Income Eligibility for Project-Based Section 8 Assistance
- (1) Pre-1981 Act Projects. Not more than 25 percent of the dwelling units that were available for occupancy under section 8 housing assistance payments contracts under this Act before the effective date of the Housing and Community Development Amendments of 1981, and which will be leased on or after such effective date shall be available for leasing by lower income families other than very low-income families.
- (2) Post-1981 Act Projects. Not more than 15 per cent of the dwelling units which became available for occupancy under section 8 housing assistance payments contracts under this Act on or after the effective date of the Housing and Community Development Amendments of 1981 shall be available for leasing by lower income families other than very low-income families.
- (3) Targeting. For each project assisted under a contract for project-based assistance, of the dwelling units that become available for occupancy in any fiscal year that are assisted under the contract, not less than 40 percent shall be available for leasing only by *extremely low-income families*.



(5) Exception. - The limitations established in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) shall not apply to dwelling units made available under project-based contracts under section 8 for the purpose of preventing displacement, or ameliorating the effects of displacement.

Section 567 of the HCD Act of 1987 Amendment Affecting Section 3 of the 1937 Act:

"For purposes of calculating the median income for any area that is not within a metropolitan statistical area (as established by the Office of Management and Budget) for programs under title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, the United States Housing Act of 1937, the National Housing Act, or title V of the Housing Act of 1949, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development or the Secretary of Agriculture (as appropriate) shall use whichever of the following is higher:

- (1) the median income of the county in which the area is located; or,
 - (2) the median income of the entire non-metropolitan area of the State.



ATTACHMENT 2

HUD PROCEDURE FOR ESTIMATING FY 2016 MEDIAN FAMILY INCOMES

The FY 2016 Median Family Income (MFI) estimates, which HUD is publishing at this time, use the 5-year series of income data from 2009 to 2013. These 5-year aggregations, covering surveys administered in 2009 through 2013, provided income data for most areas of geography⁷. HUD uses Consumer Price Index (CPI) data to inflate the American Community Survey (ACS) data from annual 2013 to the midpoint of FY 2016, based on a CPI forecast, published by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) in January 2016. Separate HUD MFI estimates are calculated for all Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), HUD Metro FMR Areas, and nonmetropolitan counties.

As mentioned above, HUD uses the 2009-2013 5-year ACS data in the calculation process for the FY 2016 MFIs. Specifically, for each metropolitan area, subarea of a metropolitan area, and non-metropolitan county, 5-year ACS data is used as the new basis for calculating MFI estimates. This is the way it has been done since the 5-year ACS data first became available, for use in the FY 2011 MFI estimates. In areas where there is a valid 1-year ACS survey median family income result, HUD endeavors to use this data as well to take advantage of more recent survey information. By using both the 5-year data and the 1-year data, where available, HUD is establishing a new basis for MFI estimates while also capturing the most recent information available.

MFI estimates are based on the most currently available data, but the delay in collecting and reporting the survey data mean that 2013 ACS income data is used for FY 2016 estimates that have an as-of date of mid-2013. A new and annually revised trend factor based on the CBO forecast of CPI is used to inflate the estimate from mid-2013 to April, 2016 (or mid FY 2016).

Median family⁸ incomes start with the development of estimates of MFI for the metropolitan areas and non-metropolitan FMR/income limit areas (including U.S. territories). Attachment 2 provides a detailed explanation of how median family income estimates are calculated. The major steps are as follows:

HUD uses 2009-2013 5-year ACS estimates of median family income calculated for the areas used for FMRs and income limits as the new basis for FY 2016. In areas where there is also a 2013 1-year ACS estimate of median family income, the 1-year income data is used if the estimate is greater than its margin of error estimate. Once the appropriate 2013 ACS data has been selected, the data are set as of April 2016 using the CPI forecast by the CBO.



_

⁸ Family refers to the Census definition of a family, which is a householder with one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The definition of family excludes one-person households and multi-person households of unrelated individuals.

All places:

All estimates (using either 5-year data or 5-year data augmented with 1-year data) are updated with CPI from mid-2013 to April, 2016.

For the non-Puerto Rico Insular Areas of the United States, ⁹ which currently lack ACS (or PRCS) coverage, national ACS income changes are used as surrogates to update 2010 Decennial Census data (which collected 2009 incomes).

⁹ The areas without ACS coverage are the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Marianas Islands. Puerto Rico is covered by the ACS-equivalent Puerto Rico Community Survey.



	FY2016	4	4-PERSON		
	MEDIAN.	50% OF	VLI	TYPE OF VLI	
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME	MEDIAN	LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT	
Santa Ana-Anaheim-Irvine, CA HMFA	85000	42500	48750	High Housing Cost	
Aguadilla-Isabela, PR HMFA	18400	9200	12750	Floored at 5%	
Albany, GA MSA	41700	20850	22650	State Median Based	
Allen County, KY HMFA	50700	25350	25300	Increase Capped	
Anderson, IN HMFA	51400	25700	28550	State Median Based	
Ann Arbor, MI MSA	91600	45800	44250	Increase Capped	
Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville, AL MSA	46700	23350	23500	Floored at 5%	
Arecibo, PR HMFA	22200	11100	14100	High Housing Cost	
Armstrong County, PA HMFA	56100	28050	28100	Floored at 5%	
Atlantic City-Hammonton, NJ MSA	62200	31100	33550	High Housing Cost	
Bakersfield, CA MSA	53300	26650	29450	State Median Based	
Barnstable Town, MA MSA	77100	38550	42450	High Housing Cost	
Barranquitas-Aibonito, PR HMFA	17900	8950	11900	Floored at 5%	
Bay City, MI MSA	55300	27650	28100	Floored at 5%	
Benton County, MS HMFA	43600	21800	22400	Increase Capped	
Berkshire County, MA (part) HMFA	71600	35800	41600	Floored at 5%	
Billings, MT HMFA	69300	34650	33700	Increase Capped	
Bismarck, ND HMFA	88300	44150	42150	= =	
Blacksburg-Christiansburg-Radford, VA HM	62200	31100	32050		
Bloomington, IL HMFA		44650			
Bloomington, IN HMFA		33850			
Bridgeport, CT HMFA		43150			
Brown County, OH HMFA		26500			
Brownsville-Harlingen, TX MSA		19100			
Brunswick County, NC HMFA		29150			
Brunswick, GA MSA			26300		
Buckingham County, VA HMFA		25050			
Butte County, ID HMFA		25650			
Caguas, PR HMFA		13350			
Callaway County, MO HMFA		29950		3	
Campbell County, TN HMFA		20150			
Cass County, MI HMFA		28250		State Median Based	
Chambersburg-Waynesboro, PA MSA		34950		Increase Capped	
Charleston-North Charleston, SC MSA		34100		Increase Capped	
Charlottesville, VA HMFA		38900		Floored at 5%	
Chattanooga, TN-GA MSA		30650		Increase Capped	
Chester County, SC HMFA		21600			
Cheyenne, WY MSA			37800		
Chico, CA MSA			29450	= =	
Clarksville, TN-KY MSA			26950		
Coeur d'Alene, ID MSA		31250			
Colchester-Lebanon, CT HMFA				Low Housing CostUSM	
Columbia County, WA HMFA		26150			
Corpus Christi, TX HMFA		28950		Floored at 5% High Housing Cost	
-				Floored at 5%	
Craven County, NC HMFA Crockett County, TN HMFA		26700			
=		22400			
Cumperland MD NV MSA		38650			
Cumberland, MD-WV MSA		27550			
Dallas County, MO HMFA		22800			
Danbury, CT HMFA	105400	52/00	53400	Floored at 5%	



	FY2016	4	-PERSON	1
	MEDIAN S	50% OF	VLI	TYPE OF VLI
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME N	MEDIAN	LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT
Darlington County, SC HMFA	40800	20400	22550	Floored at 5%
Davidson County, NC HMFA	49100	24550	26150	Floored at 5%
Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL H	51400	25700	26100	High Housing Cost
Duluth, MN-WI MSA	62700	31350	31900	State Median Based
Durham-Chapel Hill, NC HMFA	74900	37450	35350	Increase Capped
East Stroudsburg, PA MSA	63900	31950	32000	Floored at 5%
Eastern Worcester County, MA HMFA	111300	55650	52550	Low Housing CostUSM
Easton-Raynham, MA HMFA	111700	55850	52550	Low Housing CostUSM
El Centro, CA MSA	48400	24200	29450	State Median Based
El Paso, TX HMFA	45400	22700	26200	State Median Based
Elkhart-Goshen, IN MSA	52300	26150	28550	State Median Based
Eugene-Springfield, OR MSA	58900	29450	29000	Increase Capped
Fairbanks, AK MSA	93800	46900	42900	Increase Capped
Fajardo, PR HMFA	23700	11850	15150	= =
Falls County, TX HMFA	44400	22200	26200	State Median Based
Fargo, ND-MN MSA	73200	36600	36750	State Median Based
Fayette County, WV HMFA		21650		State Median Based
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR HMFA		31300		Increase Capped
Fitchburg-Leominster, MA HMFA		33350		Floored at 5%
Flagstaff, AZ MSA			31600	Increase Capped
Flint, MI MSA		26100		State Median Based
Florence, SC HMFA		26750		Increase Capped
Floyd County, VA HMFA			26600	= =
Fort Lauderdale, FL HMFA			36250	Increase Capped
Fort Wayne, IN MSA		29150		= =
Franklin County, MA (part) HMFA				Floored at 5%
Fresno, CA MSA		24750		State Median Based
Gainesville, FL MSA		29850		
Gainesville, GA MSA			28250	
Gates County, NC HMFA			29400	
Gem County, ID HMFA		25600		
Golden Valley County, MT HMFA			30150	State Median Based
Grand Forks, ND-MN MSA		34700		State Median Based
Grand Junction, CO MSA		28450		State Median Based
Grant County, KY HMFA			27700	State Median Based
Grants Pass, OR MSA			25950	State Median Based
Great Falls, MT MSA			30150	State Median Based
Greensboro-High Point, NC HMFA		28600		Increase Capped
Greenville, NC MSA			27800	
Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC HMFA			30450	
Guayama, PR MSA	14400	7200	14850	
Hagerstown, MD HMFA				Floored at 5%
Hall County, NE HMFA			30250	
		27250		
Hammond, LA MSA Hanford-Corcoran, CA MSA				Increase Capped
		23750		
Haralson County, GA HMFA		25200		
Harrisonburg, VA MSA		29200		
Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT			44650	State Median Based
Hattiesburg, MS MSA		26900	25550	Increase Capped
Hickman County, TN HMFA	48900	24450	24600	Floored at 5%



	FY2016	4	1-PERSON	1
	MEDIAN 5	50% OF	VLI	TYPE OF VLI
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME N	MEDIAN	LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT
Hinesville, GA HMFA	46700	23350	24550	High Housing Cost
Hocking County, OH HMFA	54200	27100	27700	State Median Based
Homosassa Springs, FL MSA	52300	26150	25400	Increase Capped
Honolulu, HI MSA	87900	43950	50250	Increase Capped
Hot Springs, AR MSA	51200	25600	25500	
Houma-Thibodaux, LA MSA	65600	32800	30800	= =
Howard County, NE HMFA		33400		
Hudspeth County, TX HMFA			26200	State Median Based
Iberia Parish, LA HMFA		24550		Floored at 5%
Idaho Falls, ID HMFA			28650	
Iowa City, IA HMFA			43200	
Ithaca, NY MSA				Floored at 5%
Jackson County, IL HMFA			29000	
Jackson, MI MSA			28900	
Janesville-Beloit, WI MSA		29650		* *
Jasper County, SC HMFA				Floored at 5%
Jersey City, NJ HMFA			40200	
Johnstown, PA MSA				Floored at 5%
Jonesboro, AR HMFA				Floored at 5%
Kendall County, IL HMFA				Floored at 5%
Kennewick-Richland, WA MSA				Floored at 5%
Kokomo, IN MSA			28950	
Lafayette, LA HMFA				
-		36300		Increase Capped Floored at 5%
Lake Charles, LA MSA				
Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ MSA Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL MSA				Increase Capped
•		25900		-
Lamar County, GA HMFA		25550		
Lancaster County, SC HMFA		25000		
Laredo, TX MSA		21950		State Median Based
Las Cruces, NM MSA			25350	State Median Based
Las Vegas-Henderson-Paradise, NV MSA		29900		State Median Based
Laurens County, SC HMFA		22250		
Lima, OH MSA			29200	Increase Capped
Lincoln County, GA HMFA		22000		
Lincoln County, NC HMFA		31800		
Lincoln County, WV HMFA			28100	
Little River County, AR HMFA			26200	
Logan, UT-ID MSA			32050	
Longview, WA MSA			30500	
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA HMFA				High Housing Cost
Lowell, MA HMFA		44350		Floored at 5%
Lubbock, TX HMFA		30250		
Lynn County, TX HMFA		25300		
Macon County, TN HMFA	43400	21700		
Macon, GA HMFA		24050		
Madera, CA MSA		23450		
Manchester, NH HMFA			37750	
Manhattan, KS MSA	65900	32950	32600	Increase Capped
Mankato-North Mankato, MN MSA	76700	38350	37200	= =
Mansfield, OH MSA	50500	25250	27700	State Median Based



	FY2016		4-PERSO	vi
	MEDIAN !			TYPE OF VLI
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME I			
Marshall County, MS HMFA	42400	21200	23200	State Median Based
Martin County, TX HMFA	51000	25500	26300	Floored at 5%
Martinsburg, WV HMFA	62100	31050	36050	Floored at 5%
Maui County, HI HMFA	81500	40750	40250	Increase Capped
Maury County, TN HMFA	57900	28950	28100	Increase Capped
Mayagüez, PR MSA	21700	10850	13250	= =
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX MSA	38800	19400	26200	State Median Based
Meade County, SD HMFA	59700	29850	30400	Floored at 5%
Merced, CA MSA	44600	22300	29450	State Median Based
Meriwether County, GA HMFA	44700	22350	22800	Floored at 5%
Merrick County, NE HMFA	57700	28850	30250	State Median Based
Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall, FL HMFA	48100	24050	35500	Increase Capped
Midland, TX HMFA	87500	43750	37950	Increase Capped
Mille Lacs County, MN HMFA	59400	29700	31900	State Median Based
Modesto, CA MSA	56800	28400	29450	State Median Based
Moniteau County, MO HMFA	60800	30400	30800	Floored at 5%
Monroe, MI MSA	69200	34600	34100	Increase Capped
Montcalm County, MI HMFA	48700	24350	26300	State Median Based
Morgan County, TN HMFA	46600	23300	23500	Floored at 5%
Morristown, TN HMFA	42200	21100	23200	State Median Based
Morristown, TN MSA	51500	25750	24800	Increase Capped
Mount Vernon-Anacortes, WA MSA	61400	30700	32400	Floored at 5%
Muncie, IN MSA	52400	26200	28550	State Median Based
Muskegon, MI MSA	52200	26100	26300	State Median Based
Napa, CA MSA	82500	41250	43700	High Housing Cost
Nashua, NH HMFA	89200	44600	45600	Floored at 5%
New Bedford, MA HMFA	56100	28050	29450	Floored at 5%
New Haven-Meriden, CT HMFA	82700	41350	43750	Increase Capped
New York, NY HMFA	65200	32600	45300	Increase Capped
Newton County, TX HMFA	47900	23950	26200	State Median Based
Norwich-New London, CT HMFA	75700	37850	44650	State Median Based
Oakland-Fremont, CA HMFA	93600	46800	48750	Increase Capped
Ocean City, NJ MSA	80800	40400	39450	Increase Capped
Okmulgee County, OK HMFA	50000	25000	26250	State Median Based
Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL MSA	57800	28900	29250	High Housing Cost
Owen County, IN HMFA	52900	26450	28550	State Median Based
Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA MSA	88300	44150	46700	High Housing Cost
Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL MSA	58300	29150	29450	Floored at 5%
Palm Coast, FL HMFA	52900	26450	27000	High Housing Cost
Pawnee County, OK HMFA	57600	28800	28400	Increase Capped
Pend Oreille County, WA HMFA	49100	24550	27800	State Median Based
Penobscot County, ME (part) HMFA	51200	25600	27650	State Median Based
Perry County, OH HMFA	50100	25050	27700	State Median Based
Person County, NC HMFA	50800	25400	25750	Floored at 5%
Pickens County, AL HMFA	39700	19850	23150	State Median Based
Pittsfield, MA HMFA	67600	33800	41600	Floored at 5%
Pocatello, ID MSA	61200	30600	30100	Increase Capped
Poinsett County, AR HMFA	40700	20350	22350	State Median Based
Ponce, PR HMFA	20300	10150	14300	High Housing Cost
Prescott, AZ MSA	52200	26100	26600	Floored at 5%



	FY2016 4-PE			SON		
	MEDIAN 5			TYPE OF VLI		
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME N	MEDIAN	LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT		
Pueblo, CO MSA	50600	25300	30000	State Median Based		
Punta Gorda, FL MSA	52400	26200	27750	Floored at 5%		
Putnam County, WV HMFA	67600	33800	31000	Increase Capped		
Quebradillas Municipio, PR HMFA	17400	8700	11900	Floored at 5%		
Racine, WI MSA	70300	35150	34350	Increase Capped		
Raleigh County, WV HMFA	52500	26250	26000	Increase Capped		
Rappahannock County, VA HMFA	68500	34250	37050	Floored at 5%		
Reading, PA MSA	71000	35500	35450	Increase Capped		
Redding, CA MSA	50900	25450	29450	State Median Based		
Reno, NV MSA	67000	33500	33300	Increase Capped		
Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA MSA	61400	30700	31950	High Housing Cost		
Rockford, IL MSA	58000	29000	29350	Floored at 5%		
Salinas, CA MSA	63500	31750	38050	Increase Capped		
Salisbury, MD HMFA	62000	31000	36050	Floored at 5%		
San Benito County, CA HMFA	73300	36650	39100	Increase Capped		
San Diego-Carlsbad, CA MSA	73500	36750	42500	Increase Capped		
San Francisco, CA HMFA	107700	53850	61500	Increase Capped		
San German, PR MSA		11150		Floored at 5%		
San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA HMFA	107100	53550	55800	Increase Capped		
San Juan-Guaynabo, PR HMFA	26700	13350	16650	Floored at 5%		
Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA MSA	85100	42550	46850	Floored at 5%		
Santa Maria-Santa Barbara, CA MSA	77100	38550	42100	Increase Capped		
Santa Rosa, CA MSA	75900	37950	41200	High Housing Cost		
Scott County, IN HMFA	49700	24850	26100	Floored at 5%		
Sebastian-Vero Beach, FL MSA	52800	26400	27550	Floored at 5%		
Sebring, FL MSA	43300	21650	22700	Floored at 5%		
Sharon, PA HMFA	59000	29500	29200	Increase Capped		
Sheboygan, WI MSA	63100	31550	32750	Floored at 5%		
Sherman-Denison, TX MSA	56200	28100	29500	Floored at 5%		
Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ MSA	58400	29200	28250	Increase Capped		
Sioux City, IA-NE-SD HMFA	58900	29450	31650	= =		
Sioux County, ND HMFA	34900	17450	36750	State Median Based		
Smith County, TN HMFA	53700	26850	27000	Floored at 5%		
Somerset County, MD HMFA	54800	27400	36050	Floored at 5%		
Somervell County, TX HMFA	72500	36250	35300	Increase Capped		
South Bend-Mishawaka, IN HMFA	52500	26250	28550	= =		
Springfield, MA HMFA	68200	34100	41600	Floored at 5%		
St. George, UT MSA	59600	29800	32050	State Median Based		
Staunton-Waynesboro, VA MSA	62300	31150	30100	Increase Capped		
Stevens County, WA HMFA				State Median Based		
Stockton-Lodi, CA MSA	58600	29300	29450	State Median Based		
Sumner County, KS HMFA	63300	31650	31750	Floored at 5%		
Sumter, SC MSA		25650				
Taunton-Mansfield-Norton, MA HMFA		44500		= =		
Terre Haute, IN HMFA		26200		State Median Based		
The Villages, FL MSA		31050				
Tooele County, UT HMFA		33950		Floored at 5%		
Tunica County, MS HMFA		17950	23200			
Tuscaloosa, AL HMFA		29400	27750			
Tyler, TX MSA		32000	30650	* *		
÷ ·						



	FY2016		4-PERSON	1
	MEDIAN S	50% OF	VLI	TYPE OF VLI
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME N	MEDIAN	LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT
Union County, SC HMFA	42500	21250	22450	Floored at 5%
Utica-Rome, NY MSA	59600	29800	29950	Floored at 5%
Utuado Municipio, PR HMFA	17700	8850	12300	High Housing Cost
Vermilion Parish, LA HMFA	55500	27750	28050	Floored at 5%
Vineland-Bridgeton, NJ MSA	54400	27200	32900	High Housing Cost
Visalia-Porterville, CA MSA	41800	20900	29450	State Median Based
Wabasha County, MN HMFA	68400	34200	34350	Floored at 5%
Waco, TX HMFA	51000	25500	26200	State Median Based
Wakulla County, FL HMFA	61500	30750	31050	Floored at 5%
Warner Robins, GA HMFA	59300	29650	32850	Floored at 5%
Waterbury, CT HMFA	66600	33300	42550	Increase Capped
Watertown-Fort Drum, NY MSA	57900	28950	31700	High Housing Cost
Webster Parish, LA HMFA	45600	22800	23450	State Median Based
Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH MSA	50600	25300	27700	State Median Based
Wenatchee, WA MSA	63100	31550	30350	Increase Capped
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL HMFA	65400	32700	33600	High Housing Cost
Westerly-Hopkinton-New Shoreham, RI ${\tt HMFA}$	76200	38100	39450	Floored at 5%
Western Worcester County, MA HMFA	73000	36500	41600	Floored at 5%
Wheeling, WV-OH MSA	56800	28400	28350	Increase Capped
Williamson County, IL HMFA	55700	27850	29000	State Median Based
Worcester County, MD HMFA	70700	35350	36050	Floored at 5%
Worcester, MA HMFA	79700	39850	41600	Floored at 5%
Yakima, WA MSA	48700	24350	27800	State Median Based
Yauco, PR HMFA	15100	7550	11950	High Housing Cost
Yazoo County, MS HMFA	34000	17000	21450	State Median Based
York County, ME (part) HMFA	69500	34750	34200	Increase Capped
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH HMFA	53900	26950	27700	State Median Based
Yuba City, CA MSA	55900	27950	29450	State Median Based
Yuma, AZ MSA	45800	22900	23950	High Housing Cost



	FY2016			
		80% OF 4	1-PERSOI	N TYPE OF LI
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME	MEDIAN I	LI LIMI	r ADJUSTMENT
Santa Ana-Anaheim-Irvine, CA HMFA	85000	68000	78000	High Housing Cost
Aguadilla-Isabela, PR HMFA	18400	14720	20400	Floored at 5%
Albany, GA MSA	41700	33360	36250	State Median Based
Allen County, KY HMFA	50700	40560	40450	Increase Capped
Anchorage, AK HMFA		74320		Capped at US Med
Anderson, IN HMFA		41120		State Median Based
Ann Arbor, MI MSA		73280		Capped at US Med
Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville, AL MSA		37360		Floored at 5%
Arecibo, PR HMFA		17760	22550	High Housing Cost
Armstrong County, PA HMFA		44880		Floored at 5%
Atlantic City-Hammonton, NJ MSA		49760		High Housing Cost
Bakersfield, CA MSA		42640	47100	3
Baltimore-Columbia-Towson, MD MSA		69360		
Barnstable Town, MA MSA		61680		High Housing Cost
Barranquitas-Aibonito, PR HMFA		14320	19050	Floored at 5%
Bay City, MI MSA		44240		Floored at 5%
Benton County, MS HMFA		34880		Increase Capped
Bergen-Passaic, NJ HMFA		72960		
Berkshire County, MA (part) HMFA		57280		Capped at US Med
Billings, MT HMFA		55440		Increase Capped
Bismarck, ND HMFA		70640	65700	Capped at US Med
•		49760		
Blacksburg-Christiansburg-Radford, VA HM			51300	Floored at 5%
Bloomington, IL HMFA		71440 54160	65700 52500	Capped at US Med
Bloomington, IN HMFA				Increase Capped
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH HMFA		78480	73050	High Housing Cost
Boulder, CO MSA		75840		Capped at US Med
Brazoria County, TX HMFA		66160		Capped at US Med
Bridgeport, CT HMFA		69040		Capped at US Med
Brockton, MA HMFA		69680	65700	Capped at US Med
Brown County, OH HMFA		42400		State Median Based
Brownsville-Harlingen, TX MSA		30560		State Median Based
Brunswick County, NC HMFA		46640	48000	Floored at 5%
Brunswick, GA MSA		39760		Floored at 5%
Buckingham County, VA HMFA		40080		State Median Based
Burlington-South Burlington, VT MSA		67200		
Butte County, ID HMFA		41040		State Median Based
Caguas, PR HMFA		21360		High Housing Cost
California-Lexington Park, MD MSA		77600		Capped at US Med
Callaway County, MO HMFA		47920		Floored at 5%
Campbell County, TN HMFA		32240		State Median Based
Cass County, MI HMFA		45200		State Median Based
Chambersburg-Waynesboro, PA MSA		55920	54050	Increase Capped
Charleston-North Charleston, SC MSA		54560	52800	Increase Capped
Charlottesville, VA HMFA		62240	63900	Floored at 5%
Chattanooga, TN-GA MSA		49040	48950	Increase Capped
Chester County, SC HMFA		34560	38100	State Median Based
Cheyenne, WY MSA		66000	60450	Increase Capped
Chico, CA MSA		45680	47100	State Median Based
Clarksville, TN-KY MSA		45840	43100	Increase Capped
Coeur d'Alene, ID MSA	62500	50000	49100	Increase Capped



	FY2016			
	MEDIAN	80% OF 4	1-PERSON	N TYPE OF LI
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME	MEDIAN I	LI LIMIT	r adjustment
Colchester-Lebanon, CT HMFA	107200	85760	65700	Capped at US Med
Columbia County, WA HMFA				Floored at 5%
Corpus Christi, TX HMFA				High Housing Cost
Craven County, NC HMFA			45450	-
Crockett County, TN HMFA				State Median Based
Culpeper County, VA HMFA				Floored at 5%
Cumberland, MD-WV MSA		44080		Floored at 5%
Dallas County, MO HMFA			38550	
Danbury, CT HMFA	105400			
Darlington County, SC HMFA		32640		
Davidson County, NC HMFA		39280		
Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL H				High Housing Cost
Duluth, MN-WI MSA		50160		
Durham-Chapel Hill, NC HMFA			56550	
East Stroudsburg, PA MSA		51120		Floored at 5%
Eastern Worcester County, MA HMFA	111300			
Easton-Raynham, MA HMFA	111700			
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			47100	
El Centro, CA MSA				
El Paso, TX HMFA			41900	
Elkhart-Goshen, IN MSA			45700	
Eugene-Springfield, OR MSA			46400	
Fairbanks, AK MSA		75040		Capped at US Med
Fajardo, PR HMFA		18960		
Falls County, TX HMFA			41900	
Fargo, ND-MN MSA		58560		
Fayette County, WV HMFA		34640		
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR HMFA			49250	* *
Fitchburg-Leominster, MA HMFA		53360	65700	
Flagstaff, AZ MSA		49040	50550	* *
Flint, MI MSA			42100	
Florence, SC HMFA			41750	
Floyd County, VA HMFA		41840		
Fort Lauderdale, FL HMFA			58000	
Fort Wayne, IN MSA		46640	47050	
Franklin County, MA (part) HMFA		57440		
Fresno, CA MSA			47100	
Gainesville, FL MSA	59700	47760	48550	
Gainesville, GA MSA	53000	42400	45200	Floored at 5%
Gates County, NC HMFA	55000	44000	47050	Floored at 5%
Gem County, ID HMFA				State Median Based
Golden Valley County, MT HMFA	52300	41840	48250	State Median Based
Grand Forks, ND-MN MSA	69400	55520	58800	State Median Based
Grand Junction, CO MSA	56900	45520	48000	State Median Based
Grant County, KY HMFA	53200	42560	44300	State Median Based
Grants Pass, OR MSA	47800	38240	41500	State Median Based
Great Falls, MT MSA	57200	45760	48250	State Median Based
Greensboro-High Point, NC HMFA	57200	45760	45350	Increase Capped
Greenville, NC MSA	59300	47440	44500	Increase Capped
Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC HMFA	63500	50800	48700	Increase Capped
Guayama, PR MSA	14400	11520	23750	Floored at 5%



	FY2016			
			1-PERSO1	
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME			
Gulf County, FL HMFA				Floored at 5%
Hagerstown, MD HMFA				Floored at 5%
Hall County, NE HMFA	58400	46720	48400	State Median Based
Hammond, LA MSA	54500	43600	41100	Increase Capped
Hanford-Corcoran, CA MSA	47500	38000	47100	State Median Based
Haralson County, GA HMFA	50400	40320	39500	Increase Capped
Harrisonburg, VA MSA	58400	46720	46950	Floored at 5%
Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT	85000	68000	65700	Capped at US Med
Hattiesburg, MS MSA	53800	43040	40850	Increase Capped
Hickman County, TN HMFA	48900	39120	39350	Floored at 5%
Hillsborough County, NH (part) HMFA	84700	67760	65700	Capped at US Med
Hinesville, GA HMFA	46700	37360	39300	High Housing Cost
Hocking County, OH HMFA	54200	43360	44300	State Median Based
Homosassa Springs, FL MSA	52300	41840	40600	Increase Capped
Honolulu, HI MSA	87900	70320	80450	Increase Capped
Hot Springs, AR MSA	51200	40960	40750	Increase Capped
Houma-Thibodaux, LA MSA	65600	52480	49250	Increase Capped
Howard County, NE HMFA	66800	53440	53200	Increase Capped
Hudspeth County, TX HMFA	26000	20800	41900	State Median Based
Iberia Parish, LA HMFA	49100	39280	39500	Floored at 5%
Idaho Falls, ID HMFA	56000	44800	45850	Floored at 5%
Iowa City, IA HMFA	86900	69520	65700	Capped at US Med
Ithaca, NY MSA	69000	55200	58700	
Jackson County, IL HMFA	54100	43280	46400	State Median Based
Jackson, MI MSA		47120		
Janesville-Beloit, WI MSA	59300	47440	48150	* *
Jasper County, SC HMFA			35900	
Jersey City, NJ HMFA			64300	Increase Capped
Johnstown, PA MSA			44950	= =
Jonesboro, AR HMFA			42100	
Kalawao County, HI HMFA			65700	
Kendall County, IL HMFA		66560		Capped at US Med
Kendall County, TX HMFA		71200		Capped at US Med
Kennewick-Richland, WA MSA		52640		Floored at 5%
Kokomo, IN MSA		48720		Increase Capped
Lafayette, LA HMFA		58080		Increase Capped
Lake Charles, LA MSA			45050	
Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ MSA		40080		Increase Capped
Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL MSA			42000	
Lamar County, GA HMFA			40600	, ,
Lancaster County, SC HMFA				Floored at 5%
Laredo, TX MSA				State Median Based
Las Cruces, NM MSA		35760		
Las Vegas-Henderson-Paradise, NV MSA		47840		
Laurens County, SC HMFA		35600		
Lawrence, MA-NH HMFA		67280		Capped at US Med
Lima, OH MSA		48880		Increase Capped
Lincoln County, GA HMFA		35200		State Median Based
Lincoln County, NC HMFA		50880		Increase Capped
Lincoln County, WV HMFA		34720		
BINCOIN COUNTRY, WV DIMFA	43400	J4 / Z U	44330	TIOOTER OF 12



	FY2016			
		80% OF 4	-PERSON	TYPE OF LI
METROPOLITAN AREA			LI LIMIT	
Little River County, AR HMFA			41900	·
Livingston County, MI HMFA			65700	Capped at US Med
Logan, UT-ID MSA			51300	State Median Based
Longview, WA MSA			48800	Increase Capped
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA HMFA			69450	High Housing Cost
Lowell, MA HMFA			65700	Capped at US Med
Lubbock, TX HMFA			47100	Increase Capped
Lynn County, TX HMFA			41900	State Median Based
Macon County, TN HMFA			37100	State Median Based
Macon, GA HMFA			40100	Floored at 5%
Madera, CA MSA			47100	State Median Based
Madison, WI HMFA			65700	Capped at US Med
Manchester, NH HMFA			60400	Floored at 5%
Manhattan, KS MSA		52720		Increase Capped
Mankato-North Mankato, MN MSA		61360		Increase Capped
Mansfield, OH MSA			44300	State Median Based
·		33920		State Median Based
Marshall County, MS HMFA			42100	Floored at 5%
Martin County, TX HMFA Martinsburg, WV HMFA		49680		Floored at 5%
3.				
Matanuska-Susitna Borough, AK HMFA		68560		Capped at US Med
Maui County, HI HMFA		65200		Increase Capped
Maury County, TN HMFA		46320		Increase Capped
Mayagüez, PR MSA		17360		High Housing Cost
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX MSA			41900	State Median Based
Meade County, SD HMFA			48650	Floored at 5%
Merced, CA MSA		35680		State Median Based
Meriwether County, GA HMFA			36500	Floored at 5%
Merrick County, NE HMFA			48400	State Median Based
Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall, FL HMFA		38480		Increase Capped
Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ HMFA	103800			Increase Capped
Midland, TX HMFA		70000		Increase Capped
Milford-Ansonia-Seymour, CT HMFA		77440		Capped at US Med
Mille Lacs County, MN HMFA		47520		State Median Based
Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI		68640		Capped at US Med
Modesto, CA MSA		45440		State Median Based
Moniteau County, MO HMFA		48640		Floored at 5%
Monmouth-Ocean, NJ HMFA			66100	High Housing Cost
Monroe, MI MSA		55360		Increase Capped
Montcalm County, MI HMFA			42100	State Median Based
Morgan County, TN HMFA				Floored at 5%
Morristown, TN HMFA	42200	33760	37100	State Median Based
Morristown, TN MSA	51500	41200	39700	Increase Capped
Mount Vernon-Anacortes, WA MSA	61400	49120	51850	Floored at 5%
Muncie, IN MSA	52400	41920	45700	State Median Based
Muskegon, MI MSA	52200	41760	42100	State Median Based
Napa, CA MSA	82500	66000	69900	High Housing Cost
Nashua, NH HMFA	89200	71360	65700	Capped at US Med
Nassau-Suffolk, NY HMFA	106200	84960	75700	Floored at 5%
New Bedford, MA HMFA	56100	44880	47100	Floored at 5%
New Haven-Meriden, CT HMFA	82700	66160	65700	Capped at US Med



	FY2016			
	MEDIAN	80% OF 4	1-PERSO	N TYPE OF LI
METROPOLITAN AREA			LI LIMIT	
New York, NY HMFA				Increase Capped
Newark, NJ HMFA				Capped at US Med
Newport-Middleton-Portsmouth, RI HMFA	90100	72080	65700	Capped at US Med
Newton County, TX HMFA	47900	38320	41900	State Median Based
Norwich-New London, CT HMFA	75700	60560	65700	Capped at US Med
Oakland-Fremont, CA HMFA	93600	74880	75150	Increase Capped
Ocean City, NJ MSA	80800	64640	63100	Increase Capped
Okmulgee County, OK HMFA	50000	40000	42000	State Median Based
Oliver County, ND HMFA	83600	66880	65700	Capped at US Med
Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL MSA	57800	46240	46800	High Housing Cost
Owen County, IN HMFA	52900	42320	45700	State Median Based
Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA MSA	88300	70640	74700	High Housing Cost
Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL MSA	58300	46640	47100	Floored at 5%
Palm Coast, FL HMFA	52900	42320	43200	High Housing Cost
Pawnee County, OK HMFA	57600	46080	45450	Increase Capped
Pend Oreille County, WA HMFA	49100	39280	44500	State Median Based
Penobscot County, ME (part) HMFA	51200	40960	44250	State Median Based
Perry County, OH HMFA	50100	40080	44300	State Median Based
Person County, NC HMFA	50800	40640	41200	Floored at 5%
Pickens County, AL HMFA	39700	31760	37050	State Median Based
Pittsfield, MA HMFA	67600	54080	65700	Capped at US Med
Pocatello, ID MSA	61200	48960	48150	Increase Capped
Poinsett County, AR HMFA	40700	32560	35750	State Median Based
Ponce, PR HMFA	20300	16240	22900	High Housing Cost
Portsmouth-Rochester, NH HMFA	83400	66720	65700	Capped at US Med
Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown, NY HMF	87100	69680	65700	Capped at US Med
Prescott, AZ MSA	52200	41760	42600	Floored at 5%
Pueblo, CO MSA	50600	40480	48000	State Median Based
Punta Gorda, FL MSA	52400	41920	44400	Floored at 5%
Putnam County, WV HMFA	67600	54080	49600	Increase Capped
Quebradillas Municipio, PR HMFA	17400	13920	19050	Floored at 5%
Racine, WI MSA	70300	56240	54950	Increase Capped
Raleigh County, WV HMFA	52500	42000	41600	Increase Capped
Rappahannock County, VA HMFA	68500	54800	59300	Floored at 5%
Reading, PA MSA	71000	56800	56700	Increase Capped
Redding, CA MSA	50900	40720	47100	State Median Based
Reno, NV MSA	67000	53600	53300	Increase Capped
Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA MSA	61400	49120	51100	High Housing Cost
Rochester, MN HMFA	84300	67440	65700	Capped at US Med
Rockford, IL MSA	58000	46400	46950	Floored at 5%
Rockland County, NY HMFA	100600	80480	72500	Increase Capped
Salinas, CA MSA	63500	50800	60900	Increase Capped
Salisbury, MD HMFA		49600	57700	
San Benito County, CA HMFA		58640	62550	
San Diego-Carlsbad, CA MSA		58800		Increase Capped
San Francisco, CA HMFA		86160		= =
San German, PR MSA		17840	18900	= =
San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA HMFA	107100		79250	
San Juan-Guaynabo, PR HMFA		21360	26650	
Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA MSA		68080	75000	
	23100	55555		



	FY2016			
	MEDIAN	80% OF 4	-PERSON	TYPE OF LI
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME I	MEDIAN I	JI LIMIT	T ADJUSTMENT
Santa Maria-Santa Barbara, CA MSA				Increase Capped
Santa Rosa, CA MSA				High Housing Cost
Scott County, IN HMFA				Floored at 5%
Seattle-Bellevue, WA HMFA	90300	72240	69300	Increase Capped
Sebastian-Vero Beach, FL MSA		42240		
Sebring, FL MSA				Floored at 5%
Sharon, PA HMFA		47200		
Sheboygan, WI MSA		50480		Floored at 5%
Sherman-Denison, TX MSA				Floored at 5%
Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ MSA		46720		Increase Capped
Sioux City, IA-NE-SD HMFA		47120		State Median Based
Sioux County, ND HMFA		27920		State Median Based
Smith County, TN HMFA		42960		Floored at 5%
Somerset County, MD HMFA		43840		Floored at 5%
Somervell County, TX HMFA		58000		Increase Capped
South Bend-Mishawaka, IN HMFA		42000		State Median Based
Southern Middlesex County, CT HMFA		81280		Capped at US Med
Springfield, MA HMFA		54560		Capped at US Med
		47680		State Median Based
St. George, UT MSA		105040		
Stamford-Norwalk, CT HMFA				Increase Capped
Staunton-Waynesboro, VA MSA		49840		Increase Capped
Stevens County, WA HMFA		43040		State Median Based
Stockton-Lodi, CA MSA		46880		State Median Based
Sumner County, KS HMFA		50640		Floored at 5%
Sumter, SC MSA		41040		Increase Capped
Taunton-Mansfield-Norton, MA HMFA		71200		Capped at US Med
Terre Haute, IN HMFA		41920		State Median Based
The Villages, FL MSA		49680		Increase Capped
Tooele County, UT HMFA		54320		Floored at 5%
Trenton, NJ MSA		74400		Capped at US Med
Tunica County, MS HMFA		28720		State Median Based
Tuscaloosa, AL HMFA		47040		Increase Capped
Tyler, TX MSA		51200		Increase Capped
Union County, SC HMFA		34000		Floored at 5%
Utica-Rome, NY MSA		47680		Floored at 5%
Utuado Municipio, PR HMFA		14160		High Housing Cost
Vermilion Parish, LA HMFA				Floored at 5%
Vineland-Bridgeton, NJ MSA		43520		High Housing Cost
Visalia-Porterville, CA MSA		33440		State Median Based
Wabasha County, MN HMFA				Floored at 5%
Waco, TX HMFA				State Median Based
Wakulla County, FL HMFA		49200		Floored at 5%
Warner Robins, GA HMFA		47440	52550	Floored at 5%
Warren County, NJ HMFA		67120	65700	Capped at US Med
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-M			70150	High Housing Cost
Waterbury, CT HMFA		53280	65700	Capped at US Med
Watertown-Fort Drum, NY MSA		46320	50700	High Housing Cost
Webster Parish, LA HMFA	45600	36480	37500	State Median Based
Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH MSA		40480	44300	State Median Based
Wenatchee, WA MSA	63100	50480	48550	Increase Capped



	FY2016 MEDIAN	80% OF 4	1-PERSOI	N TYPE OF LI
METROPOLITAN AREA	INCOME	MEDIAN 1	LI LIMI	T ADJUSTMENT
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL HMFA	65400	52320	53750	High Housing Cost
Westchester County, NY Statutory Excepti	107800	86240	70400	High Housing Cost
Westerly-Hopkinton-New Shoreham, RI HMFA	76200	60960	63100	Floored at 5%
Western Rockingham County, NH HMFA	101800	81440	65700	Capped at US Med
Western Worcester County, MA HMFA	73000	58400	65700	Capped at US Med
Wheeling, WV-OH MSA	56800	45440	45350	Increase Capped
Williamson County, IL HMFA	55700	44560	46400	State Median Based
Worcester County, MD HMFA	70700	56560	57700	Floored at 5%
Worcester, MA HMFA	79700	63760	65700	Capped at US Med
Yakima, WA MSA	48700	38960	44500	State Median Based
Yauco, PR HMFA	15100	12080	19100	High Housing Cost
Yazoo County, MS HMFA	34000	27200	34300	State Median Based
York County, ME (part) HMFA	69500	55600	54700	Increase Capped
York-Kittery-South Berwick, ME HMFA	84200	67360	65700	Capped at US Med
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH HMFA	53900	43120	44300	State Median Based
Yuba City, CA MSA	55900	44720	47100	State Median Based
Yuma, AZ MSA	45800	36640	38300	High Housing Cost



ATTACHMENT 5

FY 2015 - 2016 Distribution of changes in Area Median Income (100 Percent = FY 2015 Income Level)

	ı				De		+ Chan					
	1	000	0.50	000	Pe		t Chang		115 10	l	105 10	
STATE	less than		85% to	90% to	95 to		to	110.1% to		120.1%	125.1% or	
		84.9%						115%		to 125		Median
	2				1							73
AK			1	4			4					99
AL	1			9	27	13	2	1	1			98
AR			2	11	31	18	4					97
AZ				3	5	3	2	1				99
CA		1	1	4	19	20	6					100
со			2	11	29	11	2					97
CT			1	1	5	5						99
DE					2							96
FL			2	11		17	4				1	99
GA		3	8			31	5	2				98
GU						1						102
HI				2		2	1					100
IA				2			3					100
ID	1		1	5	15	15	1		1			99
IL			1	11	37	28	4					98
IN			2	5	37	19	3	2				98
KS			3			34	6		1			99
KY		1	1	11	40	37	2	2				99
LA				7	22	12		3				98
MA		1		1	7	4	2					97
MD			1		8	3	2					98
ME			1		12	5	1					98
MI			2	5	47	18	3					98
MN				3	34	33						99
MO	1			10	54	30	2					98
MS				8	41	19	2		2			98
MT			4	10	16	16	5	3	1			99
NC		1	6	10	42	16	3	4				98
ND				1	16	22	9	3	1			102
NE			5	3	44	28	8					99
NH				4	5	1						98
NJ				2	1		1					94
NM			1	5	12	7	3	2				99
NV				3	5	4	3				1	99
NY			2	1	24	19	1					99
ОН	1		3	6	33	19	5	1				98
OK				7	33	24	3					99
OR			1	4	16	7	3					98
PA				4	22	23	2					99
PR	2		1	2	4	2	3					98
RI				2	3			1				98
sc			2	4	18	7	5					98
SD			2	8	26	22	3	1				99
TN		1	4	8	34	23	3	2				98
TX	3	1	3	26	77	76	18	9	1	1		99
UT				2	14	6	3		1			99
VA	2		2	9	31	15	4					98
VI									1		2	
VT					9	3						98
WA			2	6			3	1				98
WI		1	1					_				99
WV	1			5			7	1				100
WY	_			2				1				98
US	14	10	68				157		10	1	4	



ATTACHMENT 5A

FY 2015 - 2016 Distribution of changes in Area Median Income (100 Percent = FY 2015 Income Level) Metropolitan Areas

					Pe	rcen	t Chang	ge				
STATE	less	80%	85%	90%		100%	105.1%	110.1%	115.1%		125.1%	
SIRIE	than		to		95 to		to	to	to	120.1%		
	80%	84.9%	89.9%	94.9%			110%	115%	120%	to 125	more	
					1	1						98
AK						2						104
AL				2	6	7		1				100
AR			1		6							98
AZ				1	2	1	2					103
CA			1	1	11	13	4					101
co					6	2						99
CT			1	1	5	3						99
DE					2							96
FL				7	11	7	4					99
GA		1	2	4	8	8	2					99
HI				1		1						101
IA					4	7	1					100
ID				1	2	3	1					100
IL			1	2	7	6	3					99
IN			1	3	8	5						98
KS					3	2	1					99
KY					4	6						101
LA				2	5	5		3				100
MA		1		1	7	2	2					97
MD					4	2	2					99
ME			1		3	3	1					99
MI				1	6	8	3					101
MN					7	3						99
MO				3	9	3						97
MS					4	2			1			98
MT			1	2		1						93
NC			3	2	8	9	2	4				100
ND				1	1	1	1	1				102
NE					7	1						98
NH				2	1							93
NJ				2	1		1					94
NM					1	2	1					104
NV					1	1	1					101
NY			1		12	10						99
ОН					9	6	2	1				100
ок					3	5						100
OR					5	1						99
PA				4	6							100
PR	2		1	2	3	2						99
RI				2	3			1				98
sc			1	1	6	4	4					100
SD				1	1	2						99
TN		1	1	2	8	6	3	1				98
тх				6	17	13	6			1		99
UT				1	2	3						100
VA				4	8	5						99
VT						1						103
WA			1	3	3	6	1	1				100
WI				1	7	7						99
WV	1			2	2	3						99
WY					1		_	1				106
US	3	3	17	68	247	203	67		1	1		99



ATTACHMENT 5B

FY 2015 - 2016 Distribution of changes in Area Median Income (100 Percent = FY 2015 Income Level) Non-metropolitan Areas

					Non-	metre	opolitan	Areas				
					Pe	rcen	t Chang	re				
	less	80%	85%	90%				110.1%	115.1%		125.1%	
STATE	than	to	to	to	95 to	to	to	to	to	120.1%		
	80%	84.9%	89.9%	94.9%	99.9	105%	110%	115%	120%	to 125	more	Median
	2											48
AK			1	4	10	8	3					98
AL	1			7	21	6	2		1			97
AR			1	11	25	15	3					97
AZ				2	3	2						98
CA		1		3	8	7	2					98
СО			2	11	23	9	2					97
CT						2						101
FL			2	4	6						1	99
GA		2	6	12	37	23	3	2				98
GU						1						102
HI				1		1						96
IA				2	33	41	2					100
ID	1		1	4	13	12			1			99
IL				9	30	22	1					98
IN			1	2	29	14		2				98
KS			3	10	34	32	5		1			99
KY		1	1	11	36		2	2				98
LA				5	17	7						98
MA						2						101
MD			1		4	1						98
ME					9							98
MI			2	4	41	10						97
MN				3		30						99
МО	1			7	45	27	2					98
MS				8	37	17	2		1			98
MT			3	8	16	15	5	3	1			99
NC		1	3	8		7	1					97
ND			_		15	21	8	2	1			102
NE			5	3	37	27	8					99
NH				2	4	1						98
NM			1	5	11	5	2	2			_	98
NV				3		3	2				1	98
NY	_		1	1	12	9	1					99
ОН	1		3	6		13	3					97
OK				7	30	19	3					99
OR			1	4			1					98
PA					16	14						99
PR			_		1	_	_					96
sc			1	3								97
SD			2	7	25		3					99
TN		_	3	6				1	_			98
TX	3	1	3	20								99
UT	_		_	1	12		2		1			98
VA	2		2	5	23	10	2		-		_	97
VI					_	_			1		2	
VT				_	9							98
WA			1	3	8	4	2			1		98



932 628

WI WV WY US

ATTACHMENT 6 FY 2016 Median Family Incomes for States, Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Portions of States

		FY 2016 -	
	TOTAL	METRO	NONMETRO
Alabama	55500	59000	46300
Alaska	87600	91500	77600
Arizona	58700	59600	44000
Arkansas	51700	57200	44700
California	70000	70400	58900
Colorado	73900	76600	60000
Connecticut	87800	87700	89300
Delaware	71200	71200	53300*
District of Columbia	74200	74200	53300*
Florida	57200	57700	44800
Georgia	59000	62500	45300
Hawaii	82400	86700	60700
Idaho	57700	61200	51700
Illinois	71400	73600	58000
Indiana	61000	62300	57100
Iowa	68400	73500	63300
Kansas	66700	73000	57300
Kentucky	56100	64000	46400
Louisiana	57300	60200	46900
Maine	61700	67200	55300
Maryland	89500	90500	67000
Massachusetts	86000	86200	77600
Michigan	62500	65100	52600
Minnesota	77100	82500	63800
Mississippi	48900	55400	42900
Missouri	60300	65700	48200
Montana	61700	64000	60300
Nebraska	66500	71500	60500
Nevada	61000	61100	60200
New Hampshire	79700	85100	71400
New Jersey	87700	87700	53300*
New Mexico	56000	58500	50700
New York	72300	73600	58900
North Carolina	57600	61400	47600
North Dakota	75800	79300	73500
Ohio	62600	64800	55400
Oklahoma	58100	61700	52500
Oregon	63400	66200	51900
Pennsylvania	68300	70600	56000
Rhode Island	73500	73500	53300*
South Carolina	56100	58900	44200
South Dakota	62900	66800	58500
Tennessee	56100	60000	46400
Texas	62800	64200	52400
Utah	69000	70000	64100
Vermont	70200	84000	64400
Virginia	77500	82900	52300
Washington	73300	75800	55600
West Virginia	53000	57000	48300
Wisconsin	67300	70400	60200
Wyoming	73300	77500	72200
us	65700	67800	53300

^{*} US non-metropolitan median

